

To: vbolden@blm.gov[vbolden@blm.gov]
From: Nikki Moore
Sent: 2017-06-13T15:51:34-04:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: Fwd: National Monument Review
Received: 2017-06-13T15:51:41-04:00

Hi Penny,

Can you please set something up for Randy and I for Friday? 30 minutes is good. I think his assistant is gisella_ojeda-dodds@ios.doi.gov from looking at previous meeting invites. We will be discussing the monument review data.

Nikki Moore
Acting Deputy Assistant Director,
National Conservation Lands and Community Partnerships
Bureau of Land Management, Washington DC
202.219.3180 (office)
202.288.9114 (cell)

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Bowman, Randal" <randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov>
Date: June 13, 2017 at 2:02:43 PM EDT
To: "Moore, Nikki" <nmoore@blm.gov>
Subject: Re: National Monument Review

Yes, any time

On Tue, Jun 13, 2017 at 12:58 PM, Moore, Nikki <nmoore@blm.gov> wrote:

Hi Randy,
Are you available Thursday or Friday for a quick follow up and discussion on this?

Thanks!

Nikki Moore
Acting Deputy Assistant Director, National Conservation Lands and Community
Partnerships
Bureau of Land Management, Washington D.C.
202.219.3180 (office)
202.740.0835 (cell)

On Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 4:34 PM, Bowman, Randal <randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

The initial (economic) data call requested this sort of information on an annual basis. However, in looking at the request again, it does not address the point Dick raises, the total value or amount of energy or mineral production foregone. To the extent the overall amounts or values can be determined, I believe that information would be very useful.

Here is how the request was worded:

4. Information on activities that likely would have occurred annually from the date of designation to the present **if the Monument had not been designated**
 - a. Recreation - annual visits to site
 - b. Energy - annual production of coal, oil, gas and renewables (if any) on site; amount of energy transmission infrastructure on site (if any)
 - c. Minerals - annual mineral production on site
 - d. Timber - annual timber production on site (in board-feet, CCF, or similar measure)
 - e. Grazing - annual grazing on site (AUMs permitted and sold)

On Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 4:10 PM, Kathleen
<kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

FYI

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Bouts, Richard** <rabouts@blm.gov>
 Date: Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 12:19 PM
 Subject: National Monument Review
 To: Kathleen Benedetto <kathleen_benedetto@ios.doi.gov>

Will this review include estimates of mineral/oil and gas/ timber value that has been locked up by each designation. I think our Minerals shop could develop estimated numbers by area. Grand Staircase shut down \$100s of millions of coal. Clinton administration used \$20 million of LWCF to buy out a coal mining company after designation. They didn't buy back the mineral value but reimbursed the company the value of what they had invested in preparing to open the mine.

Having a dollar amount tied to each monument that represents monies that would otherwise go to Treasury in the future far outweighs the recreational values so often described by monument proponents.

These estimates, in an official report such as this, might also be useful by Congress in supporting the need for making changes to "the Antiquities Act" through budget reconciliation.

Other than you, I don't know where to go with ideas such as this.

--

Dick Bouts

Bureau of Land Management

National Renewable Energy Office

email: rbouts@blm.gov

Phone: 202-912-7288

Cell: 202-834-6750

--

Kathleen Benedetto

Special Assistant to the Secretary
Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management
(202) 208-5934